



AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Engineering Course

11 – 15 January 2027
Seoul

AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Engineering Course

Ref: 264_122788 **Date:** 11 – 15 January 2027 **Location:** Seoul **Fees:** 12000 Euro

Overview

AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Engineering Course is a five-day foundation course for maintenance managers, reliability engineers, asset teams, planners, condition-monitoring specialists, and operations engineers, who leave with an AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Action Plan. Participants connect asset histories, condition signals, failure modes, work orders, anomalies, and reliability evidence to prioritized interventions, reviewed scenarios, and accountable decisions without developing models. Agile Leaders Training Center provides training in AI-assisted maintenance and reliability engineering.

Who Should Attend

- Maintenance teams responsible for intervention planning and work quality
- Reliability teams responsible for failure evidence and performance
- Asset management teams responsible for criticality and lifecycle decisions
- Planning teams responsible for work priorities and resources
- Condition-monitoring teams responsible for equipment signals and thresholds
- Operations teams responsible for constraints, risk, and asset availability

The course assumes participants work with asset or maintenance information and leaves out model development, sensor installation, control-system programming, detailed equipment design, and maintenance craft procedures.

Departments and Industries

The course supports reviewed maintenance and reliability decisions across physical-asset operations.

- Maintenance, reliability, and asset management functions
- Operations, engineering, and planning teams
- Condition monitoring and inspection functions
- Manufacturing, energy, and utilities organizations
- Transport, infrastructure, and facilities organizations
- Healthcare and commercial property organizations

Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, participants will be able to:



- Apply decision boundaries to AI-assisted maintenance use cases
- Analyze asset history, failure, and condition evidence
- Diagnose anomalies using validated operational context
- Prioritize interventions by criticality, risk, and resources
- Evaluate reliability scenarios and human review needs
- Build an AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Action Plan

Course Agenda

Day 1: Asset Scope and Evidence

- Asset Boundary and Use-Case Register
- Asset Criticality and Consequence Matrix
- Maintenance Data Source Inventory
- Work-Order Quality and Coding Check
- Decision Boundary and Review Rights Map

Day 2: Failure and Condition Signals

- Failure Mode and Effect Evidence Sheet
- Condition Parameter and Threshold Register
- Equipment Trend and Pattern Review
- Anomaly Context and Confirmation Log
- Signal Confidence and Missing Data Grid

Day 3: Maintenance Priorities

- Asset Health and Risk Triage Board
- Maintenance Intervention Option Matrix
- Criticality, Urgency, and Resource Grid
- Work Scope and Dependency Map
- Recommendation Validation and Approval Gate

Day 4: Reliability Decisions and Monitoring

- Reliability Scenario Comparison Set
- Failure Risk and Availability Tradeoff Table
- Maintenance Strategy Decision Record
- Reliability Indicator and Monitoring Scorecard
- Action Owner and Escalation Register

Day 5: Maintenance and Reliability Practice

- Suggested Exercise: Define Asset Scope and Criticality
- Suggested Exercise: Interpret Failure and Condition Evidence
- Suggested Exercise: Prioritize Maintenance Interventions
- Suggested Exercise: Compare Reliability Scenarios and Controls
- Capstone Exercise: AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Action Plan

Practical Exercises

The course uses suggested activities that convert asset evidence into reviewed maintenance actions.

- Suggested activity: define asset boundaries, rank criticality, map sources, and check work-order data quality
- Suggested activity: connect failure modes with condition parameters, thresholds, trends, anomalies, and operational context
- Suggested activity: compare intervention options by health, risk, urgency, resources, dependencies, and validation needs
- Suggested activity: test reliability scenarios, document tradeoffs, select measures, and assign owners and escalations

FAQs

Who suits AI-assisted maintenance and reliability training?

AI-assisted maintenance and reliability training suits maintenance, reliability, asset management, planning, condition-monitoring, and operations teams. It assumes experience with asset information and requires no programming.

How does AI-assisted maintenance differ from predictive maintenance?

AI-assisted maintenance covers evidence, failure modes, condition signals, priorities, scenarios, validation, and accountability. Predictive maintenance focuses more narrowly on forecasting equipment condition or failure and forms one possible use case.

Which data supports AI-assisted maintenance decisions?

Useful data includes asset registers, criticality, failure histories, work orders, inspections, alarms, vibration, temperature, pressure, lubrication, operating context, production demand, spares, labor, downtime, and reliability indicators.



Why must teams validate AI maintenance recommendations?

Human validation checks signal quality, missing context, failure consequences, operational constraints, safety implications, resource feasibility, false alarms, competing priorities, authorization, and accountable ownership before intervention.

What belongs in an AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Action Plan?

The plan includes assets, criticality, sources, failure modes, condition thresholds, anomalies, intervention options, priorities, resources, scenarios, validation gates, reliability measures, owners, escalations, and feedback actions.

Conclusion

Participants take back an AI-Assisted Maintenance and Reliability Action Plan connecting asset evidence, failure modes, condition signals, work priorities, reliability scenarios, and review controls. The plan makes assumptions, intervention rights, and ownership visible. It supports traceable maintenance decisions, coordinated action, and monitored reliability improvement.