

Comparative Political Systems Analysis Training Course

24 – 28 May 2027
Seoul

AGILE LEADERS
Training Center

Comparative Political Systems Analysis Training Course

Ref: 2116_255008 **Date:** 24 – 28 May 2027 **Location:** Seoul **Fees:** 10000 Euro

Overview

Comparative Political Systems Analysis Training Course is a one-week intermediate course for public-sector analysts, government affairs professionals, policy advisers, researchers, and institutional reform teams who leave with a Comparative Political Systems Analysis Portfolio. Participants compare constitutional structures, executives, legislatures, electoral and party systems, territorial arrangements, courts, accountability bodies, and public-administration interfaces. The course replaces descriptive country summaries with traceable institutional comparison. Agile Leaders Training Center develops comparative political systems analysis capability.

Who Should Attend

- Policy teams responsible for institutional analysis and reform options
- Government affairs teams responsible for political and administrative context
- Research teams responsible for comparative evidence and case selection
- Public-service teams responsible for governance and accountability interfaces
- Advisory teams responsible for briefing decision-makers on institutional differences

The course assumes participants interpret public-policy or governance information and leaves partisan advocacy, election campaigning, and country-specific legal advice outside its scope.

Departments and Industries

The course supports departments and industries that compare political institutions, governance arrangements, and public-sector performance.

- Public policy and government affairs functions
- Public administration and institutional reform teams
- Research institutes and higher education
- International development and governance advisory services
- Corporate public affairs and political-risk teams

Learning Objectives

By the end of this course, participants will be able to:

- Apply transparent criteria to select comparable political cases.
- Analyze constitutional allocation of authority and restraint.
- Compare executive, legislative, electoral, and party arrangements.
- Evaluate territorial governance and judicial-review designs.
- Diagnose accountability and public-administration interfaces.
- Build evidence-based institutional comparison briefs.

Course Agenda

Day 1: Frame Comparative Analysis

- Comparative Case Selection Criteria Matrix
- Most-Similar and Most-Different Systems Design
- Political System Variable Definition Sheet
- Institutional Evidence Reliability Checklist
- Comparative Claim and Limitation Register

Day 2: Compare Constitutional Structures

- Constitutional Authority Allocation Map
- Presidential, Parliamentary, and Mixed-System Comparison
- Executive-Legislative Relations Analysis Grid
- Unicameral and Bicameral Legislature Structure Map
- Judicial Review and Constitutional Restraint Matrix

Day 3: Examine Representation and Territory

- Electoral System Incentive Comparison Canvas
- Party System and Coalition Formation Map
- Representation and Participation Evidence Table
- Unitary, Federal, and Devolved Authority Matrix
- Intergovernmental Coordination Interface Map

Day 4: Evaluate Governance Performance

- Accountability Institution Role and Power Map
- Political-Administrative Interface Diagnostic
- Public Governance Indicator Comparison Dashboard
- Institutional Stability and Adaptation Assessment
- Comparative Governance Trade-Off Brief

Day 5: Practice Institutional Comparison

- Suggested Exercise: Test Case Comparability
- Suggested Exercise: Compare Executive-Legislative Designs
- Suggested Exercise: Diagnose Representation Trade-Offs
- Suggested Exercise: Evaluate Accountability Evidence
- Capstone Exercise: Complete a Comparative Political Systems Analysis Portfolio

Practical Exercises

The course uses suggested activities based on fictional and anonymized public-governance cases.

- Suggested activity: define comparison variables and select cases using transparent similarity and difference criteria.
- Suggested activity: map constitutional authority, executive-legislative relations, representation, and territorial design.
- Suggested activity: compare accountability institutions and political-administrative interfaces using evidence tables.
- Suggested activity: present an institutional comparison with findings, trade-offs, limitations, and decision implications.

FAQs

Who suits comparative political systems analysis training, and what does it assume?

Comparative political systems analysis training suits professionals who examine governance, public policy, institutional reform, government affairs, or political risk. It assumes experience interpreting policy or institutional information.

How does comparative political systems analysis differ from international relations training?

Comparative political systems analysis examines institutions and political arrangements within states. International relations training examines interactions among states, international organizations, and other cross-border actors.

How are political systems compared fairly?

Political systems are compared fairly by defining variables, selecting cases transparently, using equivalent evidence, separating formal rules from practice, documenting context, and stating the limits of each inference.

AGILE LEADERS
Training Center

What institutions matter in comparative political systems analysis?

Comparative political systems analysis examines constitutions, executives, legislatures, electoral and party systems, courts, territorial arrangements, accountability bodies, public administrations, and the relationships among them.

What makes a comparative political systems conclusion useful?

A useful comparative political systems conclusion connects evidence to a defined institutional question, explains trade-offs, distinguishes association from causation, and states where contextual differences limit transfer.

Conclusion

Participants take back a Comparative Political Systems Analysis Portfolio. It combines case criteria, variable definitions, institutional maps, representation and territorial comparisons, accountability diagnostics, indicators, and a trade-off brief. The portfolio helps teams replace broad labels with evidence-based comparisons that clarify institutional choices, performance implications, and analytical limits.